In this issue:

LISA reappears after a summer redesign Is your family financially protected?
What is a £5,000 a year pension worth?
Tax evasion, avoidance and planning – righting wrongs



rosan helmsley quarterly

Finding income: a tricky savings climate



Contents

published in early Autumn.

LISA reappears after a summer redesign

The Lifetime ISA is back in the spotlight, with a detailed framework

6

©iStock/PeopleImages

Finding income: a tricky savings climate

Real interest rates may not rise much above 1% according to the Bank of England. If so, where can investors find income now?

©iStock/ Toms93

Is your family financially protected?

The existing system of bereavement benefits is being overhauled in April 2017. What plans have you made for your family?

©iStock/IPGGutenbergUKLtd

What is a £5,000 a year pension worth?

If you have pension benefits from an old private sector final salary pension scheme, they could be more valuable than you think.

©iStock/Goldfinch4ever

Two wrongs and a right – tax evasion, avoidance and planning

HM Revenue & Customs is targeting avoidance scheme promoters and offshore evasion.

Cover image @mbbirdy

This newsletter is for general information only and is not intended to be advice to any specific person. You are recommended to seek competent professional advice before taking or refraining from taking any action on the basis of the contents of this publication. The Financial Conduct Authority (FCA) does not regulate tax advice, so it is outside the investment protection rules of the Financial Services and Markets Act and the Financial Services Compensation Scheme. The newsletter represents our understanding of law and HMRC practice. © Copyright 26 October 2016. All rights reserved

Pollsters 'Trumped' again

Should we really be surprised that Donald Trump has won the US presidential prize? Once again the polls have got it spectacularly wrong and failed to pick up on the collective momentum gathering, firstly in Europe, and now in the world's most powerful economy, that where institutional politics has become ineffective, labored, corrupt and crudely expensive to operate, change is required. In Hillary, US voters anticipated more of the same and the many millions of disenfranchised Americans, who saw a widening wealth gap and the American dream as nothing more than a pipe dream, have passed judgement.

The Billionaire property developer has closed his greatest deal to date and proved himself to be a smart campaigner. Trump developed a combative style early on that resonated with those that haven't seen the benefit of globalisation. Clinton's mistake was trying to compete with him on his own terms, rather than focusing on policy and what the future would look like under a new Clinton regime.

If you have lived in the States, as I have, and indeed spent time at University there too, you gain a very clear picture of the parochial nature of those living in this amazing country. It is incredible to some that the world's most powerful economy can be populated by such polarised individuals – on the one hand with the intellectual capacity and vision to build some of the most successful global brands on the planet, and on the other great swathes who care not what happens outside their own town, let alone state.

Those that fear a Trump presidency should, in my view, give him the benefit of the doubt for the moment. Little of Trump's campaign trail rhetoric will make it to actionable policy. His tone has changed dramatically already and become much more conciliatory. He will surround himself with very able advisors – both political and importantly with strong business backgrounds too. He will cut taxes – both corporate and personal and drive a much overdue US infrastructure investment programme that will generate jobs, stronger economic growth and in turn (in theory) higher tax revenues and lower deficits.

'The Donald' will want to be judged favourably when they come to write the history books and he clearly has a massive challenge in balancing his pro business corporate tax cuts, which augur well for investors, and his protectionism, which doesn't. He will need to choose his friends carefully too!



Is this lift going up or down?

This newsletter contains a number of articles on current topical industry and financial planning issues. Please do contact us if you wish to review any of the articles, or your own situation in the context of changing legislation.

Rob Sandwith | Chief Executive

LISA reappears after a summer redesign

The Lifetime ISA is back in the spotlight, with a detailed framework published in early Autumn.

In what proved to be his final Budget, George Osborne announced the launch a Lifetime ISA from April 2017. The LISA, as it was labelled by all except the government, was widely seen as a stalking horse for future pension reforms. Mr Osborne had been expected to announce changes to tax relief on pension contributions last spring and it remains possible that his successor, Philip Hammond, will do so in the Autumn Statement on 23 November 2016.

When Mr Hammond replaced Mr Osborne in July, it was far from clear that the LISA would survive the change of occupant at 11 Downing Street. The original LISA proposals had been subject to much criticism for their potential complexity and the launch timescale. It was therefore a surprise when the government introduced the Savings (Government Contributions) Bill in early September, setting out a broad LISA framework. The Bill was accompanied by an "updated design note" for the

LISA, which revealed several changes to Mr Osborne's March proposals, but left the basic structure intact:

■ You will only be able to start a LISA if you are aged between 18 and 40. The logic is to encourage saving amongst the young;

■ The maximum LISA contribution will be £4,000 per tax year, which will count towards the new overall ISA contribution limit for 2017/18 of £20,000;

Any LISA contributions made before age 50 will attract a 25% government bonus, meaning that for the maximum contribution of £4,000 a £1,000 bonus will be added;

■ The range of eligible LISA investments will be the same as for the current cash and stocks and shares ISAs. LISAs will enjoy the same tax advantages, meaning returns will be free of UK income and capital gains tax;

■ All or part of the value built up in a LISA can be withdrawn penalty-free from age 60 onwards or for the purchase of a first home worth up to £450,000; but

■ Any other withdrawals will normally attract a 25% penalty, designed both to clawback the government bonus and to discourage early encashment. If you withdraw £5,000 whilst you are under age 60 and not buying your first home, £1,250 will disappear.

The 25% government bonus has the same effect as giving 20% tax relief, but there is an argument that it is more easily understood than the tax relief for pension contributions which is often poorly understood. Unlike pension tax relief, the government bonus is the same for all taxpayers, making it akin to the flat rate pension tax relief that many expected would arrive in last March's Budget.

There has been some debate about whether a LISA contribution makes more sense than a pension contribution (under current rules). If you are in the position to choose between the two next

> April, then personal advice based on your own particular situation is essential. While a LISA and a pension have the same tax benefits during the investment

> > period, at the stages of making contributions or drawing benefits, tax rules are distinctly different.

The value of your investment can go down as well as up and you may not get back the full amount you invested. Past performance is not a reliable indicator of future performance. Investing in shares should be regarded as a longterm investment and should fit in with your overall attitude to risk and financial circumstances. Tax laws can change. The Financial Conduct Authority does not

regulate tax advice.

While a LISA and a pension have the same tax benefits during the investment period, at the stages of making contributions or drawing benefits, tax rules are distinctly



Real interest rates may not rise much above 1% – even in the longer term according to the Bank of England. If the Bank is right about this, where can investors find income now?

The Bank of England asked two key questions last December – first: how far have 'real' interest rates (that's after allowing for erosion by inflation) fallen globally? Second: how likely are they to stay at their current low levels?

What are the implications?


The Bank argued that the fall in real interest rates over the last 30 years was driven by a mix of changes including population aging and increased levels of saving especially in emerging markets. They thought these trends would persist for some time and didn't see interest rates rising much for some time either.

If the Bank turns out to be right, what are the implications for people who need income from their investments – especially those in retirement?

Both cash and fixed interest securities look unpromising at the moment – yields are at or near all time lows. That doesn't mean that there is no place for holding cash and bonds as part of a diversified investment portfolio. But it does mean that investors should be looking for income from shares and property as well.

Dividends from equities (company shares) have traditionally provided the answer for investors wanting a reasonably dependable income. This involves them giving up some of the capital security provided by cash deposits and some fixed interest securities. The value of their capital in shares can go down as well as up, and the dividends aren't guaranteed.

But with equities there is also the prospect of some possible long-term capital growth. And that can be very important in boosting investment returns over the years. The difference between income and capital growth is that the income is usually reasonably regular, while any capital gains tend to come in spurts – with years of no gain or even losses followed by sharp up turns.



Diversifying your investment portfolio

Most people cannot just live on the income from their investments; it is necessary to draw on capital gains as well as living on total returns from their investments.

That means having a diversified investment portfolio. Part could be invested in equities to provide both income and (hopefully) long-term capital growth. But some of the portfolio should be in cash and fixed interest securities to help smooth out returns and to provide a reserve of very safe investments to draw on in turbulent times. The total returns you get from such a portfolio should provide a sustainable stream of spendable income. The Bank of England specialists

expect interest rates to stay lower for longer; that will mean investors changing their investment strategy to meet these unprecedented conditions.

The value of your investment can go down as well as up and you may not get back the full amount you invested. Past performance is not a reliable indicator of future performance. Investing in shares should be regarded as a long-term investment and should fit in with your overall attitude to risk and financial circumstances. Tax laws can change. The Financial Conduct Authority does not regulate tax advice.

Salary sacrifice rules to be tightened

If your employer allows you to exchange salary for benefits, such as a mobile phone or a company car, you may be paying more tax from 2017/18. HMRC is consulting on a revised treatment for salary sacrifice arrangements. The proposals are that income tax and employer's NICs should be levied on the greater of the salary forgone and the taxable value of the benefit (which might be nil). Fortunately, pension contributions made by salary sacrifice will be exempted from the new rules. The value of tax reliefs depends on your individual circumstances. Tax laws can change. The Financial Conduct Authority does not regulate tax advice.

Is your family financially protected?

The existing system of bereavement benefits is being overhauled from April 2017. A key change will be the end of widowed parent's allowance which is currently paid until the youngest child leaves education, to be replaced by just one year's payments for new claimants. What would happen if your family was affected?

breadwinner dies is out of all proportion to the £4,000 or so that an average funeral costs. Someone aged 35 earning £50,000 a year with a £200,000 mortgage and children aged five and seven could easily find that their partner would need £1 million simply to make up for the loss of income over the next 18 years and the repayment of half of the mortgage.

Pure life insurance is not expensive

In fact, the monthly premium for an 18-year family income plan for that 35-year-old to provide £50,000 a year to their family in the event of their death could be as low as £25 a month. For them to provide £1 million in cash as an alternative using an 18-year level term insurance plan would still be possible for just under £45 a month.

How much is enough?

Of course, it's not possible to quantify the value of someone's life in purely financial terms. On the other hand, the ability to provide adequately for your family so that they can still fulfil their hopes and dreams is an act of love that itself cannot be measured.

As a minimum, it is generally important to cover any outstanding mortgage or other debts. If you are self-employed, you need to make sure that you have enough cover for business debts. For those with children, your priority should be to provide sufficient cover to replace your income until your children are no longer dependent and it would be prudent to assume at least age 23 for this. Parents should not overlook the fact that the surviving partner may need to meet the cost of university education or private school costs.

If you receive life insurance cover as a benefit of your employment, please bear in mind that this will need to be replaced if you change jobs and this may be at a time when your health could have deteriorated.

Although there is mention of the 'breadwinner' please be mindful of the true value of homemakers and insure with that in mind.

If you are single with no children, you should still be protecting your income from the risk of a serious illness or accident. Such things can undermine your ability to meet your financial objectives.

In any event, it is important to take individual advice based on your own particular situation, so please get in touch to review your life and health protection needs.



Reviewing life insurance provision is even more important than making sure you are financially prepared for retirement or that your investments are in good order. The reason is simply that an early death robs a person of the time needed to achieve their financial goals. It is one thing to plan for retirement in, say 15 years, or build up a capital sum over five to ten years. It is quite another to make sure your loved ones are provided for in the way you would want knowing that the date of your death could be anytime from today onwards.

Well over a million people currently have funeral plans in place. However, the true financial loss experienced by a family when a Winter 2016 7

What is a £5,000 a year pension worth?

If you have pension benefits from an old private sector final salary pension scheme, they could be much more valuable than you think. So, how much is the right to a £5,000 a year prospective pension actually worth in cash equivalent transfer terms?

One of the answers to that £5,000 question – and there are many – is "a transfer value of 30 times the pension, in other words, £150,000".

If you're surprised, then you are not alone. Two years ago, such a transfer value figure would have been virtually unbelievable. Back in 2014, a multiplier of around 20:1 was common, making a £5,000 final salary pension worth around £100,000 if you were to transfer the fund close to retirement. The ratio of 20:1 ties in with HM Revenue & Custom's basis for valuing a pension for tax purposes – £1 of final salary pension is generally treated as being worth £20 of your lifetime allowance.

So why the big increase in transfer values?

The impact of interest rates

The main reason for the increases is the sharp drop in long term interest rates. For example, in early October 2014 the yield on the benchmark 30 year UK government bond (gilt) was marginally above 3.0%. Two years later the yield on gilts had halved to just 1.5%. Final salary pension schemes use long term yields to assess the value of their pension liabilities and so the value of those liabilities increases when bond yields fall. One side effect has been a large rise in company pension scheme deficits.

The pros and cons of transfer

There have even been suggestions in parliament that employers should be allowed to break their pension scheme promises in an effort to bring down deficits and escalating contribution levels. Some schemes have also increased their transfer values to encourage members with deferred pensions to leave, taking their escalating pension liabilities with them.

Transfer pros and cons

Exchanging £5,000 of pension for £150,000 of pension fund can have several advantages:

■ The maximum tax-free lump sum you can draw is likely to be much higher – £37,500 instead of £23,076 assuming a typical 15:1 commutation basis.

- You will be able to take advantage of the pension flexibilities introduced in 2015, allowing you to draw as much of the fund as you wish, when you wish.
- Death benefits will often also be superior, particularly if you are single. Any pension fund remaining at death is normally inheritance tax free and the recipients also escape any income tax charge if you die before age 75.

However, there are significant disadvantages, too:


- You lose the promise of a known amount, usually with in-built increases once payment begins.
- You no longer have the back-up security provided by the Pension Protection Fund, if your employer fails.
- You will almost certainly not be able to turn the transfer value into a lifetime annuity equivalent to your scheme pension unless you are in very poor health. For example, to match a single life index-linked pension for a 65-year-old requires a transfer multiplier of over 37:1.

The decision on whether to transfer is complicated. If your transfer value is more than £30,000 – which could mean a pension of £1,000 a year – under government rules your pension provider must make sure that you have taken regulated financial advice

based on your own particular circumstances
before allowing any transfer to be made.
We think that makes sense for any
transfer.

The value of your investment can go down as well as up and you may not get back the full amount you invested.

Past performance is not a reliable indicator of future performance. Investing in shares should be regarded as a long-term investment and should fit in with your overall attitude to risk and financial circumstances.



Tax evasion, avoidance and planning – righting wrongs

While others were enjoying August sunshine, HM Revenue & Customs (HMRC) was busy publishing consultation papers on tax avoidance and tax evasion.

As Theresa May announced in her final speech to October's Conservative Party Conference:

So it doesn't matter to me who you are. If you're a tax-dodger, we're coming after you. If you're an accountant, a financial adviser or a middleman who helps people to avoid what they owe to society, we're coming after you too. An economy that works for everyone is one where everyone plays by the same rules. So whoever you are you – however rich or powerful – you have a duty to pay your tax. And we're going to make sure you do.

Three months earlier HMRC had started two separate consultations on tackling promoters of tax avoidance schemes and dealing with offshore tax evasion.

The attack on promoters – and others involved in the development, sale and use of schemes – is designed to "influence [their] behaviour". That "influence" will take the form of new penalties based upon the amount of tax that was purportedly avoided by the scheme's users if a scheme fails. At present it is usually only the taxpayer who suffers

when a scheme is successfully challenged, while promoters and developers suffer little or no financial loss.

Making "corrections"

The latest move against offshore evasion proposes a "Requirement to Correct" if you have "undeclared UK tax liabilities in respect of an offshore matter". The "correction" must be made by September 2018 at the latest. After that date a Common Reporting Standard (CRS) is due to come fully into force.

Under the CRS, over 100 countries will automatically exchange taxpayer information, making evasion more difficult. Indeed, you probably would not want to have money invested in the countries outside the CRS.

HMRC is adopting a carrot and stick approach here because they would prefer tax evaders to confess voluntarily rather after an investigation. Thus the pre-CRS tax penalties will generally be lower than those under to "Failure to Correct" regime that begins in October 2018.

The targets for these consultations have nothing to do with what might be described as tried-and-tested financial planning and advice, such as we offer. The Prime Minister and HMRC are after aggressive avoidance schemes and tax evasion – which have always been illegal.

The value of tax reliefs depends on your individual circumstances. Tax laws can change. The Financial Conduct Authority does not regulate tax advice.

rosan helmsley quarterly

